OSU~EmEA-14

Emergy and Ecosystem Services

What are ecosystem services? What is natural capital? Case studies Natural capital degradation and sustainability

Environmental Stocks "Real Wealth"



Natural Capital is the world's stocks of natural assets which include geology, soil, air, water and all living things.

What is Natural Capital?...



What are Ecosystem Services?...

Ecosystem services are the benefits people obtain from ecosystems.

These include...

Provisioning services such as food and water,

Regulating services such as flood and disease control,

Cultural services such as spiritual, recreational, and cultural benefits; and

Supporting services, such as nutrient or hydrologic cycling



What are Ecosystem Services?...

Provision of natural capital **Climate regulation** Water purification Pests and diseases regulation Air purification **Regulation of water flows** Maintenance of soil fertility Gene pool protection **Erosion protection Recreation & tourism** Spiritual experience Aesthetic information **Design inspiration**





Examples of valuation of ecosystem services and natural capital

Multiple ecosystem services at multiple scales

Valued for:

- Fertility
- Sediment load
- Structural role (water holding, root penetration)
- Slowly renewable
 - (~200 years/cm topsoil)
- Can be subsidized, but at cost
- Differentially vulnerable to degradation
- Structural role (water holding, root penetration)



Recall: Soils have two values



Organic Fraction....

Recall:

Emergy of soil organic matter...

21 E9 sej kg⁻¹ to 4666 E9 sej kg⁻¹



Mineral Fraction....

Recall: mineral fraction...

An <u>average loamy soil</u> of 40% sand, 40% silt and 20% clay has the following UEVs for each constituent (from the UEVdb):

> Sand = $1.56 E9 sej kg^{-1}$ Silt = $1.45 E9 sej kg^{-1}$ Clay = $4.51 E9 sej kg^{-1}$

...yielding a weighted average UEV for <u>loamy</u> soil of 2.11 E9 sej kg⁻¹.



1 cm of soil erosion....

Soil weighs 1.5 g cm⁻³ and average soil is about 4% organic matter... Organic matter is about 50% carbon, thus carbon in 1 gram of of soil = = 1.5 g cm⁻³ * 4% * 50%

= 0.03 g carbon

Emergy of soil carbon = $0.03 \text{ g} * 40 \text{ E6 sej g}^{-1}$ = 1.2 E6 sej

Mineral fraction = 2.11E6 sej g⁻¹ * 1.5 g = 3.2 E6 sej

Thus 1 cm3 of soil erosion = 1.2 E6 + 3.2 E6= $4.42 E6 sej cm^{-3}$

There are 1 E8 cm2 ha⁻¹ Therefore 4.4 E14 sej ha⁻¹ or (\$220 ha⁻¹)



What is a Tree Worth?...



Transpiration, Cooling Soil protection, Soil OM building, O₂ production CO₂ absorption Etc....

Environmental Services:

Emergy = 230 E12 sej/yr = em\$116/yr

Natural Capital - 500 year old tree: Emergy = 1.15 E17 sej = ^{em}\$57,800 **Calculation of annual Environmental Services:**

6.6E6 J/m2/yr (Gainesville rain) * 7E3 sej/j = 4.6 E10 sej m $^{-2}$

Tree area = 80m diameter = $40^{2} * 3.14 = 5024 m^{2}$

Annual Emergy = 4.6 E10 sej m ⁻² * 5024 m = 2.3 E14 sej yr ⁻¹

Emdollar value = 2.3 E14 sej yr $^{-1}$ / 2.0 E12 sej \$ $^{-1}$ = em \$ 116

Calculation of Natural Capital:

Age of Tree = 500 years

Annual Emergy = 4.6 E10 sej m ⁻² * 5024 m = 2.3 E14 sej yr ⁻¹

Emergy of natural Capital = Annual Emergy * age

= 2.3 E14 sej yr-1 * 500 yr = 1.16E17 sej

Emdollar value = 1.16 E17sej / 2.0 E12 sej \$ -1 = em\$ 57,800

What is a Swamp Worth?...

A swamp is a wetland that is forested

Swamps are characterized by slow-moving or still waters and have very low topographic relief.

Swamps provide valuable ecological services including flood control, fish production, water purification, carbon storage, and wildlife habitat.



What is a Swamp Worth?...

Environmental Services:

= ^{em}\$470,000/yr

Natural capital:

- Water = ^{em}\$1.1 million
- Wood = em\$12.0 million
- Peat = ^{em}\$490 million



Calculation of Ecosystem Services

Area of Santa Fe Swamp = 2.83 E7 m^2 Rainfall = 1.0 m yr^1 UEV Rain = $3.31 \text{ E7 sej kg}^{-1}$

Env. Services: Rain = 2.83 E7 m2 * 1.0 m * 1 E3 kg m- 3 = 2.83E<u>10 kg yr - 1</u>

Emergy = 2.83E10 kg yr⁻¹ * 3.31 E7 sej kg⁻¹ = 9.4 E17 sej yr⁻¹

Emdollar Value = 9.4 E17 sej yr⁻¹ / 2 E12 sej \$⁻¹ = ^{em}\$4.7 E5 yr⁻¹

Calculation of Natural Capital

Mass of water = $0.5 \text{ m} \times 2.83 \text{ E7} = 1.4 \text{ E7} \text{ M}^3 = 1.4 \text{ E10} \text{ kg}$ Mass of wood = $22.7 \text{ kg} \text{ m}^{-2} \times 2.83 \text{ E7} \text{ m}^2 = 6.4 \text{ E8} \text{ kg}$ Mass of peat (carbon) = $72.3 \text{ kg} \text{ C} \text{ m}^{-2} \times 2.83 \text{ E7} \text{ m}^2 = 2.1 \text{ E9} \text{ kg}$

Natural Capital: Emergy of water = 1.4 E10 kg * 1.6 E8 sej kg-1 = <u>2.2 E18 sej</u> / 2 E12 sej \$-1 = \$1.1 E6

Emergy of wood = 6.4 E8 kg * 3.75 E10 sej kg-1 = <u>2.4 E19 sej</u> / 2 E12 sej \$-1 = \$12.0 E6

Emergy of peat = 2.1 E9 kg * 4.67 E11 sej kg-1 = <u>9.8 E20 sej</u> / 2 E12 sej \$-1 =\$ 4.9 E8

What is a Swamp Worth?...

Chicago Tribune

October 09. 1991

Georgia-Pacific fined: A federal judge Tuesday fined...

Georgia-Pacific fined: A federal judge Tuesday fined Georgia-Pacific Corp. \$5 million for tax evasion. The company pleaded guilty to trying to take a \$24 million tax deduction for a \$2 million piece of Florida swampland that it donated to the state. Georgia-Pacific also must pay the Internal Revenue Service \$16 million in back taxes and penalties.

"Again, I apologize for any embarrassment this causes our employees, shareholders and customers". Georgia-Pacific Chairman T. Marshall Hahn said in a prepared statement.

Plant or animal itself...





Species are information...each individual is a carrier...

and

Information must cycle....

Information sequestered in unreachable or unusable storages is of no value and often soon loses its importance and relevance.

Cycling allows for the continuous maintenance information.



Individual organism (top carnivore)

Energy Transfer Across Trophic Level.

Numbers that are **bolded** represent trophic efficiencies, numbers on pathways represent the percent of transfer from each trophic level to the next.



Calculation of emergy of individual top carnivore animal. Based on all top canvivores in N. America



TOP CARNIVORE Driving emergy (N.A.) = 8.60E+23 sej yr ⁻¹ Biomass of all TCs = 3.00E+08 kg TT = 5 yr Average weight of TC = 100kg

Emergy = 8.60E+23 sej yr ⁻¹ * 5 yr = 4.3E+24 sej Emergy of TC//kg = 4.3 E24sej / 3.0 E 8 = 1.4 E16 sej kg⁻¹ Em\$ TC = 1.4 E16 * 100 kg / 2 E12 sej \$⁻¹ = ^{em}\$7.2 E5 Calculation of emergy to maintain Minimum Viable Population (MVP) Based on FI Panther population in S. Florida



Min. Via Population Area = 2.92E+09 m2 Rain mass = 1.4 m * 2.92E+09 m2 * 1000 kg m⁻³ = 4.1E+12 kg Rain emergy = 4.1E+12 kg * 3.3 E7 sej kg⁻¹ = 1.35 E 20 sej Em\$ = 1.35 E 20 sej / 2.0 E12 sej \$ ⁻¹ = em\$6.8 E7

Calculation of emergy to maintain Species Based on all Spp on Earth



Species: Total spp = 1.20E+07 Years since mass extinction= 2.50E+08 yr Driving emergy = 1.20E+25 sej yr ⁻¹

Total Driving emergy = 2.50E+08 yr * 1.20E+25 sej yr⁻¹ = 3.0 E+33 sej Emergy per species = 3.0 E+33 sej / 1.2 E7 = 2.5 E26 sej spp⁻¹ Em\$ = 2.5 E26 sej spp⁻¹ /2 e!2 sej \$⁻¹ =1.25 E14 ^{em}\$

Emergy value of an individual (carrier) The emergy required to maintain...

Emergy value of the Population The emergy required to maintain MVP...

Emergy value of species (information) The emergy required to develop the species....

Individual organism (top carnivore)

^{em}\$ 720,000

Population (top carnivore) em\$ 68 million

Species (top carnivore) em\$ 125 trillion



Emergy Evaluation of the USA National Forest System

National Forests are largely forest areas owned by the federal government, and managed by the United States Forest Service.

In the US there are 155 National Forests totaling an area of 769,000 km²

cosystem Values...





Systems Diagram National Forest System (2005)



Fig. 1 Systems diagram of the USFS system (after Brown and Campbell 2007) showing the interplay of ecosystems, facilities and recreation in providing ecosystem services



Emergy flows supporting the USFS

The analysis was carried out using the 10 regions of the USFS and then summed to obtain this summary table.

| Note | Item | Units | Quantity | Emergy intensity (seJ/unit) | Solar emergy (×10 ¹⁸ seJ) | EmDollar (×10 ^{6 Em} |
|---------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Renew | able resources | | | | | |
| 1 | Sunlight | J | 4.37E+21 | 1.00E+00 | 4,371.0 | 2,300.5 |
| 2 | Rain chemical potential | J | 2.62E+18 | 6.36E+03 | 16,637.8 | 8,756.7 |
| 3 | Transpiration | 1 | 1.18E+18 | 6.36E+03 | 7,506.8 | 3,950.9 |
| 4 | Rain geopotential | J | 1.08E+18 | 1.10E+04 | 11,844.7 | 6,234.0 |
| 5 | Wind kinetic | J | 3.40E+18 | 1.58E+03 | 5,362.9 | 2,822.6 |
| 6 | Hurricanes | 1 | 3.38E+17 | 6.49E+03 | 2,193.6 | 1,154.5 |
| 7 | Waves | 1 | 6.11E+17 | 2.22E+04 | 13,544.0 | 7,128.4 |
| 8 | Tides | 1 | 1.96E+17 | 7.24E+04 | 14,170.3 | 7,458.0 |
| 9 | Geothermal energy | J | 6.87E+16 | 2.03E+04 | 1,394.3 | 733.8 |
| Total n | enewable ^b | | | | 19,935.5 | 10,492.4 |
| Indiga | ious non-renewable resources | | | | | \sim |
| 10 | Soil loss (harvesting) | 8 | 9.73E+10 | 1.68E+09 | 163.5 | 86.1 |
| 10a | Soil OM loss (harvesting) | 1 | 8.04E+13 | 1.18E+04 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 11 | Miscellaneous products (plants) | J | 2.50E+13 | 5.04E+04 | 1.3 | 01 |
| Total a | nd non-renewable | | | | 165.7 | 87.2 |
| Purcha | s ad inputs | | | | | |
| 12 | Petroleum products | 1 | 4.04E+15 | 1.87E+05 | 756.0 | 397.9 |
| 13 | Machinery, equipment | 8 | 4.95E+09 | 1.13E+10 | 55.8 | 29.4 |
| 14 | Misc. goods | 8 | 7.22E+07 | 2.49E+10 | 1.79 | 0.9 |
| 15 | Electricity | 1 | 1.17E+15 | 2.92E+05 | 341.8 | 179.9 |
| 16 | Services (incl Labor) | \$ | 8.66E+09 | 1.90E+12 | 16,454.0 | 8,660.0 |
| Total i | mports | | | | 17,609.4 | 9,268.1 |
| 17 | Visitors' time | J | 1.60E+15 | 1.50E+07 | 23,995.7 | 12,629.3 |
| Export | , | | | | | \sim |
| 18 | Extracted firewood | J | 1.17E+16 | 3.06E+04 | 358.9 | 188.9 |
| 19 | Harvested wood | J | 1.02E+17 | 5.04E+04 | 5,158.3 | 2,714.9 |
| 20 | Water, chemical potential | J | 1.26E+18 | 1.08E+04 | 13,566.5 | 7,140.2 |
| 21 | Water, geopotential | J | 2.01E+18 | 1.10E+04 | 22,144.8 | 11,655.1 |
| 22 | Minerals | 8 | 4.16E+12 | 1.46E+10 | 60,691.1 | 31,942.7 |
| 23 | Fossil fuels | J | 1.52E+18 | 1.31E+05 | 198,798.7 | 104,630.9 |
| 24 | Harvested wildlife | J | 5.50E+14 | 9.46E+05 | 520.6 | 274.0 |
| 25 | Harvested fish | J | 9.96E+13 | 2.10E+06 | 209.2 | 1 10.1 |
| 26 | Information | Hs | 1.94E+07 | 2.35E+14 | 4,562.9 | 2,401.5 |
| Total e | xports | | | | 306,011.0 | 161,058.4 |
| Econor | nic payments received | | | | | |
| 27 | US Gov't budget allocation | \$ | 5.55E+09 | | | |
| 28 | Payment for timber | \$ | 2.24E+08 | | | |
| 29 | Payments for minerals/fuels extracted | \$ | 2.84E+09 | | | |



Natural and Economic Capital

Total Natural Capital (24.3 E12 ^{em}\$)

Total Economic Capital (301 E9 \$) Table 3 Emergy in natural and economic capital of US National Forest System

| Note ^a | Item | Units | Quantity | Emergy intensities (seJ/ unit) | Solar emergy (×10 ²¹ seJ) | EmDollars (×10 ⁹ Em\$) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Natura | ul capital | | | | | |
| 1 | Herb./shrub biomass | 1 | 6.91E+18 | 9.79E+03 | 67.7 | 35.6 |
| 2 | Surface water | J | 1.57E+18 | 5.04E+04 | 79.0 | 41.6 |
| 3 | Land area | ha | 7.80E+07 | 1.05E+15 | 81.9 | 43.1 |
| 4 | Ground water | J | 2.80E+18 | 1.91E+05 | 535.0 | 281.6 |
| 5 | Fauna | g | 6.02E+13 | 1.72E+10 | 1,037.9 | 546.3 |
| 6 | Soil OM | J | 1.50E+20 | 1.18E+04 | 1,771.1 | 932.2 |
| 7 | Tree biomass | J | 7.71E+19 | 5.04E+04 | 3,885.8 | 2,045.2 |
| 8 | Glaciers | g | 6.23E+17 | 6.40E+06 | 3,986.2 | 2,098.0 |
| 9 | Minerals (possible reserve) | g | 2.20E+13 | 3.75E+11 | 8,243.2 | 4,338.5 |
| 10 | Fossil fuels (possible reserve) | 1 | 9.74E+19 | 9.76E+04 | 9,506.1 | 5,003.2 |
| 11 | Biodiversity | # of | 5.97E+03 | 2.85E+21 | 16,984.9 | 8,939.4 |
| Total | natural sapital | spp, | | | 46,178.8 | 24,304.6 |
| Econo | mic capital | | | | | |
| 12 | Office equipment | g | 3.84E+10 | 1.13E+10 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 13 | Machinery & tools | g | 9.91E+10 | 1.13E+10 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 14 | Buildings | g | 1.02E+12 | 6.50E+09 | 6.6 | 3.5 |
| 15 | Roads (paved) | g | 4.81E+12 | 2.77E+09 | 13.3 | 7.0 |
| 16 | Roads (dirt) | \$ | 3.14E+10 | 1.90E+12 | 59.7 | 31.4 |
| 17 | Roads (gravel) | g | 7.15E+13 | 1.68E+09 | 120.1 | 63.2 |
| 18 | Knowledge | # emp | 3.15E+04 | 1.18E+19 | 370.6 | 195.0 |
| Total o | economic capital | | | | 571.9 | 301.0 |

^a Notes to Table 3 can be found in Appendix 2



Emdollar & Economic Value of Services USFS (2005)

E. T. Campbell, M. T. Brown

The economic value was:

\$71 billion

While the emergy value of Ecosystem service was: _____Em\$197 billion ____

| Note ^a | Parameter | Emergy value (10 ²¹ seJ/year) | Emdollars ^b (10 ⁹ Em\$/year) | Economic value (10 ⁹ \$/year) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Provisio | ning services | | | |
| 1 | Fish harvest | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| 2 | Extracted firewood | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 3 | Wildlife harvest | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| 4 | Harvested timber | 5.2 | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| 5 | Water supply | 13.6 | 7.2 | 12.4 |
| 6 | Minerals extracted | 60.7 | 31.9 | 1.1 |
| - | Fossil fuels extracted | 198.1 | 104.3 | 1.7 |
| Regulati | ng services | | | |
| 8 | Carbon sink | 6.6 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| 9 | Clean air | 23.7 | 12.5 | 3.3 |
| 10 | Clean water | 19.9 | 10.5 | 1.0 |
| Supporti | ng services | | | |
| 11 | Gross primary productivity | 16.6 | 8.7 | 36.8 |
| Cultural | services | | | |
| 12 | Organized recreation | 24.0 | 12.0 | 9.2 |
| 13 | Information produced | 4.6 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| Total eco | osystem services/year | | 196.9 | 70.7 |

Table 4 Emergy, emdollar, and economic value of services of the National Forest System (2005)

* Notes to Table 4 can be found in Appendix 3

^b Emdollars are calculated by dividing emergy in column 3 by 1.9E+12 seJ/\$, the average ratio of emergy to money in the USA economy in 2005



Emergy and emdollar value of Natural & Economic Capital of the National Forest System (2005)

Note* Item

NATURAL CAPITAL

Natural Capital ^{em}\$ 24.3 trillion!!

| 1 Herb./Shrub Biomass | J | 6.91E+18 | 9.79E+03 | 67.7 | 35.6 |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|
| 2 Surface Water | J | 1.57E+18 | 5.04E+04 | 79.0 | 41.6 |
| 3 Land Area | ha | 7.80E+07 | 1.05E+15 | 81.9 | 43.1 |
| 4 Ground Water | J | 2.80E+18 | 1.91E+05 | 535.0 | 281.6 |
| 5 Fauna | g | 6.02E+13 | 1.72E+10 | 1037.9 | 546.3 |
| 6 Soil OM | J | 1.50E+20 | 1.18E+04 | 1771.1 | 932.2 |
| 7 Tree Biomass | 7 | 7.71E+19 | 5.04E+04 | 3885.8 | 2045.2 |
| 8 Glaciers | g | 6.23E+17 | 6.40E+06 | 3986.2 | 2098.0 |
| 9 Minerals (possible reserve) | g | 2.20E+13 | 3.75E+11 | 8243.2 | 4338.5 |
| 10 Fossil Fuels (possible reserve) | J | 9.74E+19 | 9.76E+04 | 9506.1 | 5003.2 |
| 11 Biodiversity | # of species | 5.97E+03 | 2.85E+21 | 16984.9 | 8939.4 |
| | | Total Nat | tural Capital | 46178.8 | 24304.6 |
| ECONOMIC CAPITAL | | | | | |
| 12 Office Equipment | g | 3.84E+10 | 1.13E+10 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 13 Machinery & tools | g | 9.91E+10 | 1.13E+10 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 14 Buildings | g | 1.02E+12 | 6.50E+09 | 6.6 | 3.5 |
| 15 Roads (paved) | g | 4.81E+12 | 2.77E+09 | 13.3 | 7.0 |
| | C. | $2.14E \pm 10$ | $1.00E \pm 12$ | 50.7 | 31.4 |
| 16 Roads (dirt) | 2 | $3.14E \pm 10$ | 1.90E+12 | 59.7 | 51.4 |
| 16 Roads (dirt) 17 Roads (gravel) | s g | 5.14E+10 7.15E+13 | 1.68E+09 | \rightarrow 120.1 | 63.2 |
| 16 Roads (dirt) 17 Roads (gravel) 18 Knowledge | ہ g employees | 7.15E+13 3.15E+04 | 1.68E+09 1.18E+19 | $\begin{array}{c} 39.7 \\ \hline 120.1 \\ 370.6 \end{array}$ | 63.2 195.0 |

Units

ic Capital of US National Forest System

Quantity

Solar

Emergy

(x10²¹seJ)

EmDollars

 $(x10^{9} Em \$)$

Emergy Intensities

(seJ/unit)

Economic Capital em\$ 301 billion!! -



Emergy and emdollar value of services of the National Forest System (2005)

| Note | e Parameter | Emergy Value (10 ²¹ seJ/yr) | Emdollars* (10° Em\$/yr) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Pro | visioning Services | | |
| | 1 Fish Harvest | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| | 2 Extracted Firewood | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| | 3 Wildlife harvest | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| | 4 Harvested Timber | 5.2 | 2.7 |
| | 5 Water supply | 13.6 | 7.2 |
| | | 60.7 | 31.9 |
| Annua | al NFS budget = | 198.1 | 104.3 |
| | \$5.6 billion | | |
| Benefit cost ratio of | | 6.6 | 3.5 |
| | | 23.7 | 12.5 |
| | 35/1 | 19.9 | 10.5 |
| | 11 Gross primary productivity | 16.6 | 8.7 |
| Си | ltural Services | | |
| 1 | 12 Organized recreation | 24.0 | 12.6 |
| 1 | 13 Information produced | 4.6 | 2.4 |
| | | Total Ecosystem Services/yr. | 196.9 |

Campbell & Brown, 2012



Ecosystem degradation & Sustainability



egradation...





Where a nation (or region) obtains its wealth is a primary factor in sustainability.

Cohen, Sweeney & Brown 2010





- How is national wealth generated?
 - 1. Transformation of renewable flows
 - Sunlight/wind/soil into agricultural products
 - 2. Transformation of very high quality non-renewables
 - Electricity into information
 - 3. Transformation of natural capital into raw products
 - Forestry, mining, fishing, water extraction, soil erosion



Cohen, Sweeney & Brown 2010







atural Capital Cepletion...

Quantifying a National Soil Erosion Problem 575 million tons of soil loss annually

nd cover classes in Kenya

Annual Soil Loss

(after Barber 1983).

| | | | | 1 minute of 11035 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------|
| Zone | Area(m ²) | Area % | Soil Loss (g/m²) | (E6 tons) |
| Barren or Sparsely Vegetated | 3.51E+10 | 6.1% | 250 | 8.77E+00 |
| Broadleaf Deciduous Forest | 9.83E+09 | 1.7% | 100 | 9.83E-01 |
| Cropland/Grassland Mosaic | 7.22E+10 | 12.4% | 3000 | 2.17E+02 |
| Cropland/Woodland Mosaic | 2.30E+09 | 0.4% | 2500 | 5.74E+00 |
| Dry Cropland and Pasture | 2.26E+10 | 3.9% | 4500 | 1.02E+02 |
| Evergreen Broadleaf Forest | 1.49E+10 | 2.6% | 100 | 1.49E+00 |
| Grassland | 1.06E+11 | 18.3% | 500 | 5.30E+01 |
| Savanna | 1.64E+11 | 28.4% | 500 | 8.22E+01 |
| Shrubland | 1.39E+11 | 23.9% | 750 | 1.04E+02 |
| Urban | 1.55E+08 | 0.0% | 5000 | 7.74E-01 |
| Water Bodies | 1.40E+10 | 2.4% | 0 | 0.00E+00 |
| Total | 5.80E+11 | | | 5.75E+02 |
| | | | | |



Problem of Soil Erosion

National Scale Analysis (Kenya)

- Erosion Losses = 45.2E20 sej/yr ~ ^{em}\$390 million
 - % of Total Use = 3.77%
 - Equivalent in magnitude to export of all agricultural goods
- Forest losses (clearing) = 41.5E20 sej/yr ~ ^{em}\$350 million
 - % of Total Use = 3.5%
- Combined an external cost of ~ 7% of total emergy use annually



Conclusion....

We propose a sustainability indicator:

% of Total Use that is derived from "Avoidable Depletion" of Natural Capital.

Soil erosion Depletion of groundwater Forest harvest faster that renewed Fisheries harvest



Questions?



